

CommunityConnect 2019
Scoring Rubric for Abstracts

	5 Excellent	4 Good	3 Fair	2 Poor	1 Does not meet requirements	Score
Relevance	<p>Topic is extremely relevant to the needs of senior leaders in home and community support.</p> <p>Topic will appeal to a wide variety of potential delegates.</p>	<p>Topic is very relevant to the needs of senior leaders in home and community support.</p> <p>Topic will appeal to a variety of potential delegates.</p>	<p>Topic is somewhat relevant to the needs of senior leaders in home and community support.</p> <p>Topic may only appeal to a small segment of potential delegates.</p>	<p>Topic might lack relevancy to the needs of senior leaders in home and community support.</p> <p>Topic might only appeal to a very limited segment of potential delegates.</p>	<p>Topic lacks relevancy to the needs of senior leaders in home and community support.</p> <p>Topic will only appeal to a very small segment of potential delegates.</p>	
Originality	<p>The abstract clearly demonstrates innovative and/or creative approaches.</p> <p>Topic has not been presented to a similar audience in 12 months</p>	<p>The abstract demonstrates innovative and/or creative approaches.</p> <p>Topic has not been presented to a similar audience in 12 months</p>	<p>The abstract seems to demonstrate innovative and/or creative approaches.</p> <p>Topic has not been presented to a similar audience in 12 months</p>	<p>The abstract does not seem to demonstrate innovative and/or creative approaches.</p> <p>Topic has been presented to a similar audience in 12 months</p>	<p>The abstract does not demonstrate innovative and/or creative approaches.</p> <p>Topic has been presented to a similar audience in 12 months</p>	
Clarity	<p>Title and description are very inviting, clear, descriptive and interesting.</p> <p>Workshop objective is well-developed</p> <p>Abstract is very well-written and free from spelling/grammar errors, or confusing jargon and acronyms</p>	<p>Title and description are inviting, clear and descriptive.</p> <p>Workshop objective is clear and understandable</p> <p>Abstract is well-written and mostly free from spelling /grammar errors, or confusing jargon and acronyms</p>	<p>Title and description are somewhat inviting, clear and descriptive.</p> <p>Workshop objective is vague and not well-developed.</p> <p>Abstract is moderately well-written, contains spelling /grammar errors, confusing jargon and acronyms</p>	<p>Title and description provide a limited idea of what the session will be about.</p> <p>Workshop objective is very vague - more information would be needed to fully evaluate.</p> <p>Abstract is poorly written, containing many spelling/grammar errors, confusing jargon and acronyms</p>	<p>Title and description are vague or lack enough detail to evaluate.</p> <p>It is unclear what the session is about.</p>	

	5 Excellent	4 Good	3 Fair	2 Poor	1 Does not meet requirements	Score
Value to Delegates	<p>Workshop is timely, compelling and could have significant impact on the work of home and community support delegates</p> <p>Specific outcomes and key “takeaways” are very clearly articulated.</p> <p>Value of the session is extremely evident; it’s very clear what delegates will learn and how they can apply it to their work</p>	<p>Workshop is compelling or timely, and could have some impact on the work of home and community support delegates</p> <p>Specific outcomes and key “takeaways” are clearly articulated.</p> <p>Value of session is evident; it’s clear what delegates will learn and how they can apply it to their work</p>	<p>Workshop is somewhat compelling or timely, and might have impact on the work of home and community support delegates</p> <p>Specific outcomes and key “takeaways” are not very clearly articulated.</p> <p>Value of session is not clearly evident; it’s not straightforward what delegates will learn and how they can apply it to their work</p>	<p>Workshop is not timely or compelling and will have limited impact on the work of home and community support delegates</p> <p>Specific outcomes and key “takeaways” are very poorly articulated.</p> <p>Value of session is not evident; it’s unclear what delegates will learn and how they can apply it to their work</p>	<p>Workshop will have extremely limited impact on the work of home and community support delegates</p> <p>Specific outcomes and key “takeaways” are absent.</p> <p>Value of session is very vague; it’s not at all evident what delegates will learn and how they can apply it to their work</p>	
Presenter Qualifications, Experience and Presentation Style	<p>Presenter(s) qualifications/ experience indicate they are very knowledgeable about the topic and appear to have a high level of expertise based on his/her direct or related experiences</p> <p>High level of confidence that the presenters will strive to engage delegates</p>	<p>Presenter(s) qualifications/ experience indicate they are knowledgeable about the topic and appear to have a sufficient level of expertise based on his/her direct or related experiences</p> <p>Confident that the presenters will strive to engage delegates</p>	<p>Presenter(s) qualifications/ experience indicate they might be knowledgeable about the topic and might have an adequate level of expertise based on his/her direct or related experiences</p> <p>Somewhat confident that presenters will strive to engage delegates</p>	<p>Not evident whether presenter(s) qualifications/ experience are sufficient to lead a workshop on the topic</p> <p>Not confident that presenters will strive to engage delegates</p>	<p>Presenter(s) qualifications/ experience are insufficient to lead a workshop on the topic</p> <p>Not at all confident that presenters will strive to engage delegates</p>	